

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,048	04/19/2001	Koichiro Nakatani	M1596-238	9436
7278 7	590 05/08/2003			
DARBY & DARBY P.C.			EXAMINER	
P. O. BOX 525 NEW YORK, I	57 NY 10150-5257		HARTMANN, GARY S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

OS/830,048 NAKATANI, KOICHIRO Art Unit Carry Hattmann Sart Unit	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
THE REFLY FILED 28 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandomment of this application. A proper reply till a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.13 may only be either. (f) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)] a)	Advisory Action	09/830,048	NAKATANI, KOICHIRO				
THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandomment of this application. A proper reply id, a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed discion. A proper reply id, a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)	Ť	Examiner	Art Unit				
THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE a Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply it d. a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.13 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCC) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.14. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)] 3) The period for reply express 6 months from the mailing date of the Advisory Action, or (2) the date of the final rejection. 4) The period for reply express 6 months from the mailing date of the Advisory Action, or (3) time the mailing date of the final rejection. 5) The period for reply express 6 months from the mailing date of the Advisory Action, or (3) the mailing date of the final rejection. 6) ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.0701, 706.0701. 706.0701. 706.0701. 706.0701. 706.0701. 707.0701. 707.0701. 707.0701. 708.		Gary Hartmann	3671				
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply td_a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either (1) a timely filled mendment with places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filled Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filled Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. **PERIOD FOR REPLY** (check either a) or b) **The period for reply expires @months from the mailing date of the Androy Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires a@months from the mailing date of the Androy Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires adjusted to the statutory period for reply expires adjusted from (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply expirally set in the final Office action, or (2) as set forth in (5) above, if checked Any reply received by the Office inter the internet bread activatory period for reply expirally set in the final Office action, or (2) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. A Notice of Appeal was filed on	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
a) The period for reply expires 6. months from the mailing date of the final rejection. (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no evert, however, with set abtutory period for reply expires sort. (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no evert, however, with set abtutory period for reply expires sort. (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action. (2) the Advisory Action (2) the CPCK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filted is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the file. The appropriate extension fee have been filted is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the file. The appropriate extension fee have been filted is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the file. The appropriate extension fee have been filted in the file of the propriate extension fee have been filted in the filter of the propriate extension fee under the corresponding amount of the file. The appropriate extension fee under the period of the filter of the fil	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the finar rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, with the statutory pend for reply spire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See WPEP 7007(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.139(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of obtermining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of obtermining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of obtermining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposed and the appropriate extension fee have been filed in the date of the purposed and the appropriate extension fee have been filed in the purposed and the purposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) \(\text{ The proposed amendment(s)} \) will not be entered because: (a) \(\text{ The proposed amendment(s)} \) will not be entered because: (a) \(\text{ The yraise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) \(\text{ they arise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (c) \(\text{ they arise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (d) \(\text{ they arise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (e) \(\text{ they arise the proposed amendment to purpose the proposed deciment to the final rejection. NOTE: \(\text{ See Continuation Sheet} \) (d)							
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment application in condition for allowance because: for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application of the place the application for condition for allowance because:	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner At Unit: 3671	37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) application in condition for allowance because: 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3671	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner At Unit: 3671	(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
issues for appeal; and/or (d)	(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3.5.6.8-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3671							
 3. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ≥ will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3.5.6.8-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3671	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3.5.6.8-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. ☐ Other: Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3671		be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3.5.6.8-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3671	5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3.5.6.8-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5,6,8-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5,6,8-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5,6,8-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3671	Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3671	Claim(s) objected to:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3671	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,6,8-18</u> .						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3671	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
10. Other: Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3671	8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.				
10. Other: Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3671							
Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3671			/_				
Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3671		_					
,			Primary Examiner				

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the claims have not previously recited a structure limited to only an involute curve. Further consideration would be necessary to determine if the final rejection would stand; a new rejection would have to be made; or the claims would be